Synthesis of 2-0-methyl-D-rhamnose, a constituent of a bacterial lipopolysaccharide

András Lipták

Institute of Biochemistry, L. Kossuth University, H-4010 Debrecen (Hungary) (Received December 7th, 1981; accepted for publication, February 2nd, 1982)

Recently, 2-O-methyl-D-rhamnose (6-deoxy-2-O-methyl-D-mannose) has been identified¹ in the hydrolysate of a lipopolysaccharide isolated from *Bacterium faecalis alcaligenes*, and a synthesis of this sugar is now described.

Treatment of methyl 3-O-benzyl-4,6-O-benzylidene-2-O-methyl-α-D-manno-pyranoside² (1) with LiAlH₄-AlCl₃ in ether-dichloromethane afforded methyl 3,4-di-O-benzyl-2-O-methyl-α-D-mannopyranoside (2, 67%). Reaction of the 6-tosylate (3) of 2 with LiAlH₄ in benzene-ether (1:1) gave 86% of methyl 3,4-di-O-benzyl-2-O-methyl-α-D-rhamnopyranoside (4). Prolonged reduction of 3 also removed one of the two benzyl groups and gave methyl 4-O-benzyl-2-O-methyl-α-D-rhamnopyranoside (5). The synthesis of L-5 has been described³.

Hydrogenolysis of 4 over Pd/C afforded methyl 2-O-methyl-α-D-rhamnopyranoside (6), hydrolysis of which gave the title compound (7). The ¹³C-n.m.r. spectra of 6 and 7 were indistinguishable from those^{4,5} of the L enantiomers.

EXPERIMENTAL

Optical rotations were measured with a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter. N.m.r. spectra (internal Me₄Si) were obtained by using Jeol MH-100 or Bruker WP-200 instruments. T.l.c. was performed on Kieselgel G (Merck).

Methyl 3,4-di-O-benzyl-2-O-methyl- α -D-mannopyranoside (2). — To a solution of 1^2 (2.75 g) in 1:1 ether-dichloromethane (60 mL) was added LiAlH₄ (0.9 g) with stirring, and the mixture was slowly heated to the boiling point. To the hot solution was added AlCl₃ (2.75 g) in ether (20 mL) during 5 min, and the mixture

NOTE 301

was boiled under reflux for a further 2 h; t.l.c. then indicated that all 1 had disappeared. The mixture was cooled, the excess of LiAlH₄ was decomposed by the addition of ethyl acetate (8 mL), and Al(OH)₃ was precipitated by the addition of water (12 mL). After dilution with ether (40 mL), the organic layer was separated and the residue was washed with ether. The organic phase was washed with water (3 × 20 mL), dried, and concentrated. The crude product (2.3 g) was eluted from Kieselgel G (120 g) with dichloromethane-acetone (85:15), to give syrupy 2 (1.85 g, 67.2%), $[\alpha]_D + 56^\circ$ (c 0.7, chloroform), $R_F 0.49$. ¹H-N.m.r. data (CDCl₃): δ 7.40-7.20 (m, 10 H, 2 Ph), 4.80 (q, 4 H, 2 CH₂-Ph), 4.71 (b, 1 H, H-1), 3.82 (dd, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 3.2, $J_{3,4}$ 8.9 Hz, H-3), 3.49 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.34 (s, 3 H, OMe), and 2.30 (t, 1 H, HO-6). Anal. Calc. for $C_{22}H_{28}O_6$: C, 68.02; H, 7.26. Found: C, 68.25; H, 7.32.

The syrupy 6-tosylate (3) of 2 had $[\alpha]_D$ +55.5° (c 0.6, chloroform), R_F 0.52 (hexane-ethyl acetate, 7:3). ¹H-N.m.r. data (CDCl₃): δ 7.71 (d, 2 H), 7.40–7.20 (m, 12 H, aromatic protons), 4.66 (s, 1 H, H-1), 4.60 (s, 2 H, CH₂-Ph), 4.58 (q, 2 H, CH₂-Ph), 3.45 (s, 3 H, MeO-2), 3.28 (s, 3 H, MeO-1), and 2.36 (s, 3 H, tosyl Me).

Anal. Calc. for $C_{29}H_{34}O_8S$: C, 64.18; H, 6.31; S, 5.91. Found: C, 64.35; H, 6.26; S, 6.05.

Methyl 3,4-di-O-benzyl-2-O-methyl- α -D-rhamnopyranoside (4). — A solution of 3 (1.62 g) in 1:1 dry benzene-ether (60 mL) was boiled under reflux with LiAlH₄ (230 mg) for 30 min; the presence of two products in the ratio 9:1 could then be detected, but no 3. After cooling, the excess of the reagent was decomposed with ethyl acetate (2 mL), and Al(OH)₃ was precipitated by addition of water (12 mL). The organic layer was decanted and the residue washed with ether (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (3 × 20 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated. The syrupy mixture was eluted from Kieselgel G (50 g) with hexane-ethyl acetate (7:3) to give, first, 4 (960 mg, 86.3%), $[\alpha]_D + 54^{\circ}$ (c 0.49, chloroform), R_F 0.59; lit.⁵ for the L isomer, $[\alpha]_D - 38^{\circ}$ (chloroform). ¹H-N.m.r. data (CDCl₃): δ 7.40–7.20 (m, 10 H, 2 Ph), 4.72 (q, 2 H, CH₂-Ph), 4.68 (s, 3 H, CH₂-Ph and H-1), 3.84 (dd, 1 H, J_{2,3} 3, J_{3,4} 9 Hz, H-3), 3.49 (s, 3 H, MeO-2), 3.30 (s, 3 H, MeO-1), and 1.30 (d, 3 H, J_{5,6} 6.5 Hz, Me-5).

Anal. Calc. for C₂₂H₂₈O₅: C, 70.49; H, 7.58. Found: C, 71.10; H, 7.66.

Eluted second was methyl 4-*O*-benzyl-2-*O*-methyl-α-D-rhamnopyranoside (5; 90 mg, 10.7%), $[\alpha]_D$ +53° (*c* 0.84, chloroform), R_F 0.26; lit.³ for the L isomer, $[\alpha]_D$ -56° (chloroform). ¹H-N.m.r. data (CDCl₃): δ 7.40–7.20 (m, 5 H, Ph), 4.78 (q, 2 H, CH₂-Ph), 4.70 (b, 1 H, H-1), 3.86 (dd, 1 H, H-3), 3.48 (s, 3 H, MeO-2), and 3.34 (s, 3 H, MeO-1).

Anal. Calc. for C₁₅H₂₂O₅: C, 63.81; H, 7.85. Found: C, 64.05; H, 7.96.

Methyl 2-O-methyl- α -D-rhamnopyranoside (6). — A solution of 4 (900 mg) in ethanol (40 mL) and acetic acid (20 mL) was hydrogenolysed in the presence of 10% Pd/C (300 mg); the reaction was complete within 3 h. The mixture was filtered and concentrated, to give syrupy 6 (410 mg, 88.4%), $[\alpha]_D + 43^\circ$ (c 1.2, chloroform); lit.^{4,5} for the L enantiomer, $[\alpha]_D - 37^\circ$ (chloroform), -49° (chloroform).

302 NOTE

¹³C-N.m.r. data (CDCl₃): δ C-1, 97.33; C-2, 80.24; C-3, 71.52; C-4, 73.82; C-5, 67.61; C-6, 17.47; MeO-1, 54.76; and MeO-2, 58.80.

2-O-Methyl-D-rhannose (7). — A solution of 6 (300 mg) in M sulfuric acid (10 mL) was kept at 100° for 6 h, and then neutralised with barium carbonate, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was extracted with boiling ethanol (3 × 20 mL), and the combined extracts were concentrated, to yield chromatographically homogeneous, syrupy 7 (210 mg, 75.8%), $[\alpha]_D$ -28° (c 1.12, water); lit.^{6.7} for the L enantiomer, $[\alpha]_D$ +24° m.p. 113-114°.

¹³C-N.m.r. data (D₂O, internal DSS): α anomer, δ C-1, 93.52; C-2, 83.67; C-3, 72.60; C-4, 75.41; C-5, 70.99; C-6, 19.61; and OMe 61.51; β anomer, δ C-1, 96.71; C-2, 84.45; C-3, 76.03; C-4, 71.21; C-5, 74.97; C-6, 19.61; and OMe, 64.72.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks Professor P. Nánási for his interest, and Dr. J. Harangi for recording the n.m.r. spectra.

REFERENCES

- 1 G. M. ZDOROVENKO, Bioorg. Khim., 7 (1981) 103-110.
- 2 A. Lipták, I. Czégény, J. Harangi, and P. Nánási, Carbohydr. Res., 73 (1979) 327-331.
- 3 V. Pozsgay, Carbohydr. Res., 69 (1979) 284-286.
- 4 R. Toman, Š. Karácsonyi, and R. Palovčík, Carbohydr. Res., 56 (1977) 191-194.
- 5 V. Pozsgay and P. Nánási, Carbohydr. Res., 81 (1980) 184-186.
- 6 F. G. YOUNG AND R. C. ELDERFIELD, J. Org. Chem., 7 (1942) 241-249.
- 7 P. Andrews, L. Hough, and J. K. N. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 77 (1955) 125-130.